International Journal of Novel Research in Interdisciplinary Studies Vol. 8, Issue 3, pp: (1-14), Month: May – June 2021, Available at: <u>www.noveltyjournals.com</u>

Wheat Leaf Rust Disease Management: A Review

Worku Abebe

Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Holleta Agricultural Research Center (HARC), P.O. Box 2003, Holeta, Ethiopia.

E-mail: workuabebe2005@gmail.comTel: +251913317619

Abstract: Leaf rust is one of the three wheat rusts and is economically important disease of wheat worldwide. It is a devastating disease that can cause significant yield losses especially in wheat growing regions of the world where environmental conditions are conducive. In Ethiopia, wheat leaf rust is one of the most important diseases in most wheat growing areas of the country where the yield loss due to its impact is reaching up to 75% in susceptible wheat varieties at hot spot areas. This review discusses recent information on economic importance, epidemiology, geographic distribution, life cycle, host range of wheat leaf rust disease as well as its management methods such as cultural, chemical, biological and use of host resistance cultivars. Under the use of host resistance method, information on the types of resistance and sources of resistance has been presented.

Keywords: Wheat, rust, disease, management, cultural method, chemical method, host resistance, types of resistance, sources of resistance.

1. INTRODUCTION

Wheat is one of the world's most important staple grains (Curtis et al., 2002; FAO, 2014) and is the most widely grown cereal crop (Snape and Pánková, 2007) in more than 122 countries (FAOSTAT, 2015). It is the leadingsource of calories for millions of people worldwide (Curtis et al., 2002; Hawkesford et al., 2013). In Ethiopia, wheat is among the most important staple food crops, cultivated in a wide range of agro-ecologies and produced at 1.69 million ha of land with an annual yield approximated to 4.64 million metric ton (Alemu et al., 2019). However, wheat production is highly constrained by rusts thatcan cause significant yield losses (Marasas, 2004). The rust pathogens are among the most important pathogens causing a continuous threat to wheat production (Singh et al., 2008) and have been reported to cause a vast amount of losses in different areas, years and environments favouring disease epidemics (Sharma et al., 2011; Pardeyet al., 2013; Beddowet al., 2015; Singh et al., 2016). Wheat leaf rust, caused by Pucciniatriticina, is one of the three wheat rusts and is economically important disease of wheat worldwide (Bolton et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2016). It is the most common and widely distributed of the three wheat rusts (Samborski, 1985; Roelfset al., 1992; Oelke and Kolmer, 2004; Mebrateet al., 2008; Kolmeret al., 2009; Huerta-Espinoet al., 2011) and therefore, has adapted to a wide range of environments, allowing it to coexist with wheat in all growing environments (Winzeleret al., 2000; Kolmeret al., 2009; Huerta-Espinoet al., 2011; McCallum et al., 2016). Leaf rust is a devastating disease that can highly threaten wheat production and can cause significant yield losses especially in wheat growing regions of the world where environmental conditions are conducive(Roelfset al., 1992;Sayre et al., 1998; Cook, Hilms& Vaughan, 1999; Marasaset al., 2004; Carpenter, 2018). Historically, an epidemic that caused yield losses up to 40 to 50% has been recorded in different parts of the world (Roelfset al., 1992; Marasaset al., 2004). Moreover, reports indicate that, early infection of leaf rust on wheat generally causes higher yield losses (Roelfset al., 1992; Huerta-Espinoet al., 2011). In Ethiopia, wheat leaf rust, is one of the most important diseases in most wheat growing areas of the country (Badeboet al., 2008; Tekly, 2015; Gadisa, 2019; Habtamuet al., 2020) where the yield loss due to its impact is reaching up to 75% in susceptible wheat varieties at hot spot areas (Badeboet al., 2008; Shimelis and Pretorius, 2005; Tekly, 2015; Gadisa, 2019; Habtamuet al., 2020).

Novelty Journals

Vol. 8, Issue 3, pp: (1-14), Month: May – June 2021, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

2. ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE OF WHEAT LEAF RUST DISEASE

Leaf rust is a wheat disease potentially causing a huge yield loss in the world's wheat crop production (Eversmeyer & Kramer, 1996; Sayre et al., 1998; Cook, Hilms& Vaughan, 1999; Singh, 1999) and is now recognized as an important pathogen, causing significant yield losses over large geographical areas (Marasas et al., 2004; Roelfs et al., 1992). The effect of leaf rust on plant development and wheat quality depends on the onset of the disease, yield potential and the level of cultivar resistance (Knott, 1989; Schultz & Line, 1992; Sayre et al., 1998; Eversmeyer& Kramer 2000; Everts et al., 2001; Singh et al., 2002). Yield reductions are higher if the disease occurs early in the crop growth stage and occur on the flag leaf (Roelfset al., 1992; Kolmeret al., 2009; Huerta-Espinoet al., 2011). Leaf rust causes greater annual losses due to its more frequent and widespread occurrence almost every year worldwide (Singh et al., 2002). For example, in Australia, estimated crop losses of up to 30% were reported in wheat cultivars susceptible to leaf rust (Rees and Platz 1975) and up to 55% in cultivars susceptible to both stem rust and leaf rust (Keed and White 1971). In 1992, a widespread leaf rust epidemic in Western Australia caused yield losses of up to 37% in susceptible cultivars and an average loss of 15% across fields (McIntosh et al., 1995). Murray and Brennan (2009) estimated the financial losses due to leaf rust in Australia were AUD 12 million per annum; however, has the potential to cause national losses up to AUD 197 million. Reports showed that there was an estimated loss of over 3 million tons, worth over \$350 million due to leaf rust from 2000 to 2004 in the USA. Similarly, estimated losses in Kansas winter wheat alone were estimated at 13.9% in 2007, 4.7% in 2008 and 1.37% in 2009 (Appel et al., 2009). In the same way, in Mexico, wheat yield losses due to leaf rust were estimated at \$32 million between 2000 and 2003 growing seasons (Singh et al., 2004a). Yield losses in the southern part of the state of Sonora in north-western Mexico during 2008–2009 growing season were estimated at \$40 million, including the cost of two fungicide applications to avoid serious yield losses. Likewise, economic assessments in the United Kingdom (Priestley and Bayles, 1988) provided estimates of losses in susceptible winter wheat due to stripe rust and leaf rust of £83 million with the value of disease resistance estimated at £79.8 million (Priestley and Bayles, 1988). Volkova and his co-workers (2009) in Russia showed that Annual yield losses have varied from 18 to 25% at different wheat growing regions of the country (Volkovaet al., 2009). In South America (Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Paraguay, and Uruguay) between 1996 and 2003, the yield loss amounted to \$172 million due to the most significant changes in the leaf rust pathogen population affected 10 cultivars and caused yield losses in the region (Germa'net al., 2004). The costs of annual fungicide applications from 1999 to 2003 were estimated at more than \$50 million. Leaf rust epidemics between1999 and 2003 in Argentina alone, resulted in estimated costs of US\$74 million when three popular varieties became susceptible to leaf rust (German et al., 2004). The total annual cost of fungicide applications to control leaf rust in the South Cone region of South America is about US\$50 million (Germanet al., 2007). In Pakistan, in 1978, yield loss that cost a national financial loss of US\$ 86 million was reported (Husseinet al., 1980). Similarly, in China, annual yield losses wereestimated to be 3 million tonnes. Losses up 30% has been reported in West Asia region and in Central Asia, more than 90% of the area is planted in leaf rust prone areas of 13.3 million hectares (Singh et al., 2004b). In Egypt&, Tunisia estimated yield losses up to 50% & 30%, respectively were reported (Deghais et al., 1999). Likewise, rusts costs South African wheat farmers millions of rands annually (Boshoff, 2001). In Ethiopia, yield loss due to leaf rust reached up to 75% on susceptible wheat varieties at hot spot wheat growing areas of the country (Badeboet al., 2008; Tekly, 2015; Hei etal., 2016; Gadisa, 2019; Habtamuet al., 2020).

3. EPIDEMIOLOGY OF WHEAT LEAF RUST DISEASE

Consecutive developmental stages have to occur before a healthy plant becomes diseased. These stages consist of the arrival of a pathogen to the host, attachment to the host, recognition between host and pathogen, spore germination, appersorium formation, penetration, infection, colonization, and dissemination, often by air and or water. In epidemiology, different factors that affect the disease cycle and especially the rates of the events are studied (de Vallavieille-Pope *et al.*, 2000). Leaf rust is heteroecious and macrocyclic fungus. It is heteroecious, because it requires two taxonomically unrelated hosts to complete its full life cycle. The primary host is wheat and the alternate hosts are *Thalictrum speciosissimum, Isopyrum fumarioides, Anchusa spp. and Clematis spp.* On the two different hosts, leaf rust fungus produces five spore stages: urediniospores, teliospores, basidiospores, pycniospores and aeciospore stages. The urediniospore stages are produced on the alternate hosts *Thalictrum speciosissimum, Isopyrum fumarioides, Anchusa Thalictrum speciosissimum, Isopyrum functiones*, stages are produced on the primary host, wheat. The pycniospore and aeciospore stages are produced on the alternate hosts *Thalictrum speciosissimum, Isopyrum functioides, Anchusa spp. and Clematis spp.* (Samborski 1985). *Thalictrum speciosissimum* is considered to be the main alternate host with the other

Vol. 8, Issue 3, pp: (1-14), Month: May – June 2021, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

hosts only occurring in specific regions (Samborski 1985). The fungus is a macrocyclic because it produces spores more than one time in the growing season of the crops. Each new spore is capable of reinfecting wheat and so new infections can occur every 7 to 10 days depending on the environment, host age and genotype (Bolton et al. 2008; Tomerlin et al., 1983). Leaf rust produces round to ovoid pustules up to 1.5 mm in diameter on the adaxial and abaxial leaf surfaces (Bolton et al., 2008). Approximately 20,000 spores can be produced per pustule when leaf rust infections occur at the heading growth stage through senescence (Tomerlinet al., 1983). Once urediniospores land on susceptible host, the spore germinates to develop a germ tube. Optimal spore germination occurs with temperatures between 15 to 20°C with continuous dew for 4 to 8 hours (Roelfset al., 1992; Zhang et al., 2003). After germination, the germ tube will move across the leaf surface to a stoma (Bolton et al., 2008). When a stoma is encountered, the germ tube protoplasm will concentrate at the hyphal tip and form an appressorium (Bolton et al., 2008). A septum will form between the germ tube and the newly formed appressorium (Bolton et al., 2008). The stoma will close in response to the appressorium and a penetration peg will push through the closed stoma to enter the host substomatal space (Bolton et al., 2008). The penetration peg hypha forms a substomatal vesicle. Infection hyphae and haustorial mother cell are developed from the substomatal vesicle when in contact with mesophyll cell (Bolton et al., 2008). From the haustorium mother cell a penetration peg is developed to penetrate the host cell wall forming a haustorium (Bolton et al., 2008). The haustorium invaginates the plant cell membrane leaving the membrane intact. A tight association between the host and fungal membranes allows the transport of nutrients from the host to the fungus (Baka et al., 1995). The haustorium might also modify the metabolism of the host cell to the pathogen's own requirements (Szabo and Bushnell, 2001; Panstruga, 2003). Following infection, after 3-4 days, colour variation (i.e. faint flecks) is visible. After 8-10 days urediniospores are visible while after 12–14 days maximum sporulation is observed under favorable temperatures (Stubbs et al., 1986; Kolmeret al., 2009). The sexual cycle of wheat leaf rust consists of four different developmental stages, two of which infect the alternate host Thalictrum spp. If present, alternate host provides little initial inoculum at the beginning of the growing season in most wheat growing areas (Zhao et al., 2016). In general, volunteer wheat, winter wheat and/or winddispersed spores transported from region to region are the prime source of inoculum each year (Roelfset al., 1992; Nagarajan& Singh, 1990). However, the alternate host is thought to play a role in the evolution of new races (Samborski, 1985) as is somatic hybridization (Park et al., 1999) although the main source of variation in the pathogen is most likely mutations (Groth, 1984). The spores produced by the leaf rust fungus would be detached from the leaf for dispersal(Eversmeyer and Kramer, 2000; Barnes et al., 2009; Li et al., 2009). Teliospores are produced at the end of the growing season and help the pathogen to overwinter (Roelfs, 1992).

4. GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF WHEAT LEAF RUST DISEASE

Wheat leaf rust fungus can easily spread thousands of kilometres from the initial infection site through its spores, which have been documented to spread both within and between continents (Khan et al., 2013). This widespread dispersal has led to the occurrence of epidemics on a continental scale (Roelfs, 1989). Therefore, it occurs most commonly and has the widest distribution (Kolmer et al., 2009). Depending on the direction of wind spread of urediniospores in each cropping year, Huerta-Espino (1992) classified wheat growing areas of the world in to 9 epidemiological regions: Mexico; Canada and the USA; South Asia; West Asia, Eastern Europe and Egypt; Southern Africa; Northern Africa and Western Europe; the Far East; Southeast Asia; South America; and Australia-New Zealand. The Asian and African regions were delimited based on the expected airborne spread of urediniospores by wind during annual cycles. These regions were further divided based on diversity in the pathogen population (Kolmer 1992). Mountain barriers may account for the variation in geographic populations of P. triticina in Central Asia and the Caucasus (Kolmer and Ordonez, 2007). Wheat leaf rust is distributed in all these wheat-growing regions of the world (Samborski, 1985; Roelfs et al., 1992; Oelke and Kolmer, 2004; Mebrateet al., 2008; Kolmer et al., 2009; Huerta-Espino et al., 2011). It occurs nearly every year in the United States, Canada and Mexico, causing serious losses in wheat production (Huerta-Espino et al., 2011; Roelfs 1989; Singh et al., 2004a). In South America, wheat leaf rust causes major yield losses in Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Paraguay, and Uruguay (German et al., 2004). In East and South Asia, high risk regions for wheat leaf rust include China, India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, and Nepal, while in Central Asia most of the wheat crop is planted in areas prone to the disease (Singh et al., 2004b). In Russia, leaf rust results in yield losses for both winter and spring wheat (Huerta-Espino et al., 2011). In North Africa, leaf rust causes severe yield losses in Egypt and Tunisia (Huerta-Espino et al., 2011). In South Africa, leaf rust epidemics frequently occur on the spring wheat in Western Cape, winter wheat in Orange Free State and irrigated wheat in other provinces (Pretorius et al., 1987). In Australia, leaf rust is widely dispersed, occurring in all wheat-growing regions (Murray and Brennan, 2009).

Vol. 8, Issue 3, pp: (1-14), Month: May – June 2021, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

5. HOST RANGE OF WHEAT LEAF RUST PATHOGEN

The primary hosts of *P. triticina* include bread wheat, durum wheat (*T. turgidum L. var. durum*), cultivated emmer wheat (*T. dicoccum*), wild emmer wheat (*T. dicoccoides*), Ae. speltoides, goatgrass (*Ae. cylindrical*), and triticale (X *Tritico secale*) (Roelfs 1992; Bolton *et al.*, 2008). *P. triticina* requires an alternate host to complete the sexual stages of its life cycle, which helps in the evolution of new races or pathotypes through genetic recombination.

6. LIFE CYCLE OF WHEAT LEAF RUST PATHPOGEN

Leaf rust pathogenis a macrocyclic and heteroecious fungal species that has five distinct spore stages (Bolton, *et. al.*, 2008; Kolmer, 2013; Zhao*et al.*, 2016) involving genetically distant different host species. Under suitable environmental conditions, the wheat plant produces dark brown, two-celled teliospores (Bolton *et al.*, 2008). The teliospores germinate to yield haploid basidiospores on the wheat leaf tissue. Then the basidiospores are moved by wind and infect the alternate host to develop haploid pycnia in the pycnial structures (Bolton *et al.*, 2008). Consequently, the pycniospores are carried by insects to other pycnial infections whereby sexual propagation between two genetically dissimilar cells takes place, which results in the formation of plasmogamy (Kolmer, 2013). Finally, the aecial cups release aeciospores that will be broadcasted by wind to infect wheat. The sexual stage of *P. triticina* does not contribute significantly to the pathogen virulence diversity (Bolton *et al.*, 2008;Kolmer, 2013). Therefore, *P. triticina* is thought to reproduce asexually through production of dikaryotic urediniospores, cycling on wheat and its relatives (Kolmer, 1996). Temperatures of 10–25°C and presence of adequate moisture on the leaf surface are conducive for infections (Anikster, 1986). Depending on prevailing environmental conditions, the uredinial cycle is repeated every 8 to 14 days. The life cycle is complete when aeciospores germinate and penetrate stomata of the telial/uredinial host, resulting in production of asexual urediniospores.

7. MANAGEMENT OF WHEAT LEAF RUST DISEASE

Management of cereal rust diseases is complex because of their rapid dissemination and the frequency of evolution of new physiologic races and thus demands a perfect knowledge of all the elements of epidemics that are interrelated (Singh, 2005). Therefore, a combination of different methods should be used to manage cereal rusts in wheat production. Similarly, wheat leaf rust disease can be controlled using a combination of different methods such as cultural, chemical, biological and genetic resistance, though using genetic resistance is efficient, cost-effective and environmental friendly to control wheat leaf rust disease (Chen *et al.*, 2005).

7.1. CULTURAL PRACTICE

Leaf rust disease can be managed using cultural practices (Knott, 1988; Ellis *et al.*, 2014). Using a series of cultural practices significantly enhances the existing sources of resistance. As a result, crop management in terms of a combination of crop choice, timing of seeding and removing volunteer cereals may provide effective control of leaf rust (Roelfs, 1992; Wan *et al.*, 2007). The date of disease onset is directly related to the development of an epidemic (Roelfs, 1985a). Appropriate use of fertilizers and plant growth regulators helps to control wheat leaf rust disease. (Knott, 1989; Fixen, 1993; Olesen *et al.*, 2003a; Neumann *et al.*, 2004). Likewise, controlling the timing, frequency, and amount of irrigation can help decrease leaf rust infections (Roelfs, 1984). Reports indicate that using early maturing cultivars allows the plants to mature before leaf rust infections can become serious (Knott, 1988). Using multi-lines or varietal mixtures are also recommended (Huang *et al.*, 2012; Kumar, 2012). Crop rotation also helps to limit the genetic diversity of the pathogen population and to minimize the number of urediospores produced and accumulated in the season (Bariana *et al.*, 2007). The destruction of all volunteer plants would significantly reduce the survival of primary inoculum and reduce the losses due to severe epidemics (Roelfs *et al.*, 1992; Eversmeyer & Kramer 2000;Hollaway, 2007; Kolmer *et al.*, 2007; Hollaway, 2014). Heavy grazing, cultivating or spraying with herbicides to remove the 'green bridge' will reduce the amount of leaf rust in following crops (Roelfs, 1992; Hollaway and Brown, 2005).

7.2. CHEMICAL CONTROL

Chemical means of controlling wheat rusts becomes quite important as a second line of defence (Samborski 1985; Loughman *et al.*, 2005). Therefore, management of the disease through the application of chemical fungicides is necessary to ensure that wheat cultivation remains a profitable endeavour (German *et al.*, 2007). The most important aspect of fungicide application is to protect the flag leaf from infection until after the kernels have filled. Control of leaf

Vol. 8, Issue 3, pp: (1-14), Month: May – June 2021, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

rust by chemical products is available with new and more effective fungicides (DeWolf, 2014) suchas: Picoxystrobin, Fluoxastrobin, Pyraclostrobin, Propiconazole, Prothioconazole, Tebuconazole, Prothioconazole, Metconazole + Pyraclostrobin, Propiconazole + Azoxystrobin, Fluxapyroxad + Pyraclostrobin, Propiconazole + Azoxystrobin, Prothioconazole + Triflxysrobin, Tebuconazole + Triflxystrobin and Cyproconazole + Picoxystrobin

Many fungicides have been evaluated, verified, and registered for wheat disease in Ethiopia, among them, Tilt,Bumper, Progress, Topazol, bayleton, prevent, Orius, soprano, Natura, amistar extra and Rexduo are commonly used for wheat rusts(Habtamu, 2018).

7.3. BIOLOGICAL CONTROL

The bacterial strain, Pseudomonas putida have the capability to produce several types of antibiotics, siderophores and slight quantity of hydrogen cyanide (HCN), which suppress the *Puccinia triticina*growth in vitro and vivo. Different biocontrol agents were evaluated against leaf rust of wheat with their combined effect and lonely. The bioagents like V. lecanii, B. bassiana, P. fumosoroseus, M. anisopliae and C. cladosporiodes were used against *Puccinia recondita* in the field and lab conditions. The results showed that B. bassiana and V. lecanii while P. fumosoroseus and V. lecanii showed the best results against the suppression of pustule size.

7.4. PATHOGEN MONITORING

Pathogen monitoring allows the early detection of new races and confirms the prevalence of major existing races and the information obtained from it informs policies, research and development investments, as well as crop protection and breeding approaches (Park *et al.*, 2011). Coordinated international surveillance programmes are crucial to guide management strategies (Park *et al.*, 2011). The global cereal rust monitoring system created in response to the emergence of Ug99 was a good example and will serve as a model to monitor other important pathogens (Hodson *et al.*, 2009; Park *et al.*, 2011). This system has provided information and strategies in rust pathogen research and plant breeding.

7.5. HOST PLANT RESISTANCE

Deployment of genetic resistance is the most effective, environmentally friendly, cost-effective and long-term strategy to control leaf rust disease for reducing yields losses (Roelfs *et al.*, 1992; Chen, 2005). It is also the best strategy particularly for resource poor farmers in the developing world (McIntosh *et al.*, 2009) The genetic basis of resistance to wheat rust was described, for the first time, by Biffen in the early 1900s' (Biffen 1905). Since then, the discovery of genetic variation for rust resistance has been an ongoing component of wheat breeding programs.

7.5.1 types of host resistance

Studies of the interaction between cereal rusts and their hosts show a very close relationship between the genetics of the pathogen and of the host in the expression of disease (Stubbs *et al.*, 1986). Host-pathogen interaction could be specific or non-specific (Roelfs *et al.*, 1992). Based on this, plant disease resistance can be classified into two major categories: race-specific and race-non-specific resistance (Stubbs *et al.*, 1986).

7.5.1.1 race-specific resistance

Race-specific resistance is conferred by a single resistance gene and is also termed as qualitative, vertical, seedling, allstage, monogenic (major genes), and hypersensitive, etc., resistance (Stubbs, *et al.*, 1986; Rolfs *et al.*, 1992). It is effective against only particular races of a pathogen and often "breaks down" easily with the occurrence of new pathotypes of a pathogen, often led by a boom and bust cycle (Dyck and Kerber, 1985; Priyamvada and Tiwari, 2011; McDonald and Linde 2002; Knott 2008; Chen and Moore, 2002; Daetwyler *et al.*, 2014). It is effective at the seedling stage and remains effective at adult stage (Lagudah, 2010). However, there are a few race-specific resistance genes that express their resistance genes at adult plant stage, for example Lr12, Lr13 and Lr22a (Dyck and Kerber, 1985). Most Lr genes are classified in this category (Samsapour *et al.*, 2010; Bariana and Bansal, 2017; McIntosh *et al.*, 1995, 2017).

7.5.1.2. race non-specific resistance

Race non-specific resistance is conferred by multiple genes or quantitative trait loci (QTLs) and is also termed as quantitative, or horizontal, adult plant, slow rusting, polygenic (minor gene), durable etc. resistance with each providing a partial increase in resistance (Flor, 1956; McIntosh, 1995; Singh *et al.*, 2000; Chen, 2005). It is effective at adult plant

Vol. 8, Issue 3, pp: (1-14), Month: May – June 2021, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

stages and is often detected as field resistance (Hovmøller *et al.*, 2011). It is also effective against a broad range of races & is an important source for durable resistance (Sallam *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, wheat breeders and pathologists have always been concentrating on adult plant resistance genes in order to identify and improve the level of resistances (Bansal *et al.*, 2008). Among the Lr genes catalogued, only 14 confer race non-specific resistance (McIntosh *et al.*, 2017). These include Lr12, Lr13, Lr22 (alleles a, and b), Lr34, Lr35, Lr37, Lr46, Lr48, Lr49, Lr67, Lr68, Lr75, and Lr77 (Lin and Chen 2009; McIntosh *et al.*, 2017). Some of them provide partial resistance, for example, Lr34. However, there are exceptions, where some race non-specific resistance genes provide race-specific resistance (i.e. Lr13) or confer a hypersensitive response (i.e. Lr48) (Bansal *et al.*, 2008). Only a few wheat leaf rust race non-specific resistance genes confer partial resistance against multiple pathogens, and these include Lr34, Lr46, and Lr67 (Schnurbusch *et al.*, 2004).

7.5.1.3. durable leaf rust resistance

Resistance is considered durable if it remains effective within a cultivar under cultivation for a significant number of years over a substantial area with favourable conditions for the respective pathogen (Johnson, 1984). Durability of leaf rust resistance is often linked to genes or gene loci that confer durable resistance to other rusts and diseases as in the case of Lr34/Yr18/Sr57 (Singh,1992), Lr46/Yr29/Pm39 (Kolmeretal.,2015b), Lr67/Yr46 (Herrera-Fossel et al., 2014) and probably Lr68 (Herrera-Fossel et al., 2011), Lr74 (Kolmer et al., 2018b), Lr75 (Singla et al., 2017), Lr77 (Kolmer et al., 2018c), Lr78 (Kolmer et al., 2018a). When race-specific and race non-specific resistance genes successfully combined, resistance genes often complement each other, giving reactions different from those given individually (Kloppers and Pretorius 1997). Kloppers and Pretorius (1997) observed active complementation of Lr34 (race-nonspecific) and Lr13 (race-specific) with both genes improving resistance on the selected lines even with the presence of races possessing virulence for the Lr13 gene. German and Kolmer (1992) and Kolmer et al., (2007) also reported prolonged and positive interaction of resistance genes Lr16, Lr23, and Lr34 in North America. Similarly, Vanzetti et al., 2011 found that racespecific resistance genes such as Lr16, Lr47, Lr19, Lr41, Lr21, Lr25, and Lr29 provided durable resistance when combined with race-nonspecific resistance genes such as Lr34, Sr2, and Lr46 in Argentina. In the same way, Silva et al., (2015) combining Lr34, Lr68, and Sr2 to increase leaf rust resistance. Combinations of partial resistance genes in the CIMMYT breeding program have conferred adequate resistance to leaf rust in the field for several years (Singh et al., 2005). Therefore, race-nonspecific resistance genes, such as Lr34 and Lr46, are very important for breeding (Schnurbusch et al., 2004). Moreover, combinations of two or more adult plant resistance genes can provide commercially acceptable or near immune levels of resistance and this type of resistance is assumed to be durable (Bariana and McIntosh, 1995; Singh et al., 2000; Singh et al., 2004; Singh & Rajaram, 1992). Varieties with Lr34 and two or four additional genes, referred to as 'near immune' show a stable response in all environments tested so far, with final leaf rust ratings lower than 10% (Singh et al., 2000). The second slow-rusting resistance gene Lr46 was identified in wheat cultivar 'Pavon 76' (William et al., 2003). Recent studies indicate that at least 10-12 slow rusting genes are present in CIMMYT spring wheat germplasm.

7.5.2. sources of host resistance

Because of the evolution of new races, identification and transfer of new sources of resistance genes is necessary. New sources of resistance genes can be obtained from various sources. Leaf rust resistance genes were initially characterized in wheat *T. aestivum* (Lr1, Lr2a, Lr3, Lr10, Lr11), and later in wheat related species such as *T.tauschii* (Lr21), *Aegilops elongatum* (Lr24), *A.umbellulata* (Lr9), and common rye, Secale cereale (Lr26) (Browder, 1980). To date, approximately 80 leaf rust resistance genes have been identified and characterized in bread wheat, durum wheat and diploid wheat species (McIntosh *et al.*, 1995; 2007; 2017). Leaf rust resistance genes, including Lr1 (Roelfs *et al.*, 2000), Lr2, Lr2a to Lr2c, Lr3 to Lr6, Lr8, (McIntosh *et al.*, 2003) Lr7 (Wisniewska *et al.*, 2003), Lr10 to Lr13, Lr14a, Lr15 to Lr18 (McIntosh *et al.*, 2003), Lr20 (McIntosh *et al.*, 2003; Neu *et al.*, 2002), Lr22b, Lr23, Lr27, Lr30 (Nelson*et al.*, 1997), Lr31, Lr33, Lr40, (McIntosh *et al.*, 2003) Lr46, (Wisniewska *et al.*, 2003) Lr48 (McIntosh *et al.*, 2003), Lr49, Lr52, Lr67, Lr68, (Shahin and El-Orabey, 2015) trp1, trp29 (Da-Silva *et al.*, 2012), Lrac104, and Lrac124 (Hussein *et al.*, 2005), have been derived directly from common wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cultivars. Likewise, genes Lr14a (on 7BL) and Lr23 (on 2BS) were transferred to common wheat from the durum wheat cultivar 'Hope' and the related line 'Gaza', respectively. Similarly, wild cultivars of wheat or wild grasses can be major sources of resistance genes to leaf rust and the first introduction of leaf rust resistance genes into common wheat cultivars was from these wild accessions (Gill *et al.*, 1986). One gene(Lr9) was derived from the wild species *Aegilops umbellulata*, three (Lr19, Lr24, and Lr29) from *Aegilops*

Vol. 8, Issue 3, pp: (1-14), Month: May – June 2021, Available at: www.noveltyjournals.com

elongatum, five (Lr28, Lr35, Lr36, Lr47, and Lr51) from *Aegilops speltoides*, one (L37) from *Aegilops ventricosa*, one (Lr37) from *Agropyronintermedium*, seven(Lr21, Lr22a, Lr32, Lr39, Lr41, Lr42, and Lr43) from *Triticum tauschii* (Cox *et al.*, 1994; Hussein *et al.*, 1997), one(Lr44) from *Triticum spelta*, three (Lr25, Lr26, and Lr45) from *Secale cereale*(McIntosh *et al.*, 2003), one (Lr50) from *Triticummonococcum* (Mago *et al.*, 2002), one (Lr33) from *Triticum dicoccoides* (B»aszczyk*et al.*, 2004) and onefrom *Aegilops kotschyi*. To date, more than half of the available Lr genes have been identified from wildrelatives (McIntosh *et al.*, 2017). These include Lr9 (*Ae. umbellulata*); Lr19, Lr24, and Lr29 (*Thinopyrumponticum*); Lr37 (*Ae. ventricosa*); Lr38 (*Th.intermedium*); Lr28, Lr35, Lr36, Lr51, and Lr66 (*Ae.speltoides*); Lr21, Lr22a, Lr32, and Lr39 (*Ae. tauschii*); Lr57 (*Ae. geniculata*); Lr58 (*Ae. triuncialis*); Lr59 (*Ae.peregrina*); Lr62 (*Ae. neglecta*); Lr63 (*T. monococcum*), and Lr53, Lr64 (*T. dicoccoides*); Lr14a and Lr61 (*T.turgidum*) (McIntosh *et al.*, 2013). In the same way, because few landraces have been used in modern plant breeding, wheat landraces are important potential source of new resistance genes (Reif *et al.*, 2005). This might be due to the co-existence of rust pathogens and wheat may have resulted in the accumulation of diverse resistance in wheat (Newton *et al.*, 2010; Bux *et al.*, 2012).

8. CONCLUSION

Leaf rust is a devastating disease globally and growing resistant cultivars is the major component to control it. However, the fast "breakdown" of resistance is a major problem. Deployment of resistant crop varieties require: knowledge of pathogen diversity; regional and international collaboration to effectively address the disease through data sharing; a long term effort to control new and existing challenges to leaf rust. Therefore, a combination of different strategies should be used to manage rusts in wheat production. Generally, there should be a need for a collective fight against the disease and this requires all partners, affected countries or those at risk, national plant protection services and research institutes, researchers, international centres and organizations, and investors; to be actively engaged. In Ethiopia, wheat rusts are major threats for wheat production causing frequent and widespread epidemic largely due to fast breakdown of resistant cultivars. This problem can be elevated by continual development of cultivars with a combination of different types of resistance as well as integrating cultural practices and chemical control with varietal resistance. Developing a capacity for rust surveillance, use of chemical control and diversification of wheat-based production system are some of the measures need to be taken in addition to rust resistant varieties in Ethiopia.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alemu SK, Badebo A, Tesfaye K, Uauy C. 2019. Identification of Stripe Rust Resistance in Ethiopian Durum Wheat by Phenotypic Screening and Kompetitive Allele Specific PCR (KASP) SNP Markers.Plant Pathol.Microbiol. 10:483
- [2] Anikster, Y.1986. Teliospore germination in some rust fungi. Phytopathology, 76, 1026–1030.
- [3] Appel JA, DeWolf E, Bockus WW, Todd T (2009) Kansas cooperative plant disease survey report preliminary Kansas wheat disease loss estimates. August 11, 2009.
- [4] Badebo A, Eshetu B, Berhanu B, Bekele H, Melaku D. 2008. Review of two decades of research on diseases of small cereal crops. Proceedings of the 14th Annual Conference of the Plant Protection Society of Ethiopia (PPSE), December 19-22, 2006, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, pp: 375-429.
- [5] Baka ZA, Larous L, Losel DM. 1995. Distribution of ATPase activity at the host-pathogen interfaces of rust infections. PhysiolMol Plant Pathol 47: 67-82
- [6] Bansal UK, Bossolini E., Miah H., Keller B., Park RF, Bariana HS. 2008. Genetic mapping of seedling and adult plant stem rust resistance in two European winter wheat cultivars. Euphytica, 164: pp 821–828.
- [7] Bariana HS, Brown GN, Bansal UK, Miah, H, Standen GE, and Lu, M. 2007. Global landscapes in cereal rust control. Australian Journal of Agriculture Research. 58(6): 576-587.
- [8] Bariana HS, Bansal UK. 2017. Breeding for disease resistance. In Encyclopedia of applied plant sciences (Second Edition); Murray, B.G.; Murphy, D.J., Eds.; Academic Press, Oxford,; pp. 69-76.
- Barnes CW, Szabo LJ, & Bowersox VC. 2009. Identifying and quantifying Phakopsorapachyrhizi spores in rain. Phytopathology, 99,328-338.

- [10] BeddowJM,& Pardey PG. 2015. Moving matters: the effect of location on crop production. J. Econ. Hist. 75, 219– 249.
- [11] Bolton M, Kolmer J, Garvin D. 2008. Wheat leaf rust caused by Puccinia triticina. Mol Plant Pathol 9:563–575.
- [12] Boshoff, WHP. 2001. Control of foliar rusts of wheat in South Africa with special emphasis on Puccinia striiformis f. sp. tritici. Ph.D. Thesis, University of the Free State, Bloemfontein.
- [13] Browder LE. A compendium of information about named genes for low reaction to Puccinia recondita in wheat. Crop Sci. 1980, 20, 775–779.
- [14] Bux H, Ashraf M, Chen X. 2012. Expression of high-temperature adult-plant (HTAP) resistance against stripe rust (Puccinia striiformis f. sp. tritici) in Pakistan wheat landraces. Can J Plant Pathol 34: 68–74. 27.
- [15] Carpenter NR, Griffey CA, Rosso L, et al. 2018. Mapping Lr18: A leaf rust resistance gene widely deployed in soft red winter wheat. J Plant Dis Biomark; 1(1):4-10.
- [16] Chaves MS, Martinelli JA, WespCdL, Graichen FAS. 2008. The cereal rusts: an overview. Pest Technol 2:38-55
- [17] Chen XM. 2005. Epidemiology and control of stripe rust (Puccinia striiformis f. sp. Tritici) on wheat. Can J Plant Pathol 27:314–337
- [18] Chen X, Moore M, Milus EA, Long DL, Line, RF, Marshall D, and Jackson, L. 2002. Wheat stripe rust epidemics and races of Pucciniastriiformis f. sp. tritici in the United States in 2000. Plant Dis. 86:39-46.
- [19] Cook RJ, Hilms M.J. & Vaughan TB. 1999. Effects of fungicide spray timing on winter wheat disease control. Plant Path. 48, 33-50.
- [20] Curtis BC, Rajaram S. and Gomez Macpherson H. 2002. Bread Wheat; Improvement and Production.FAO Plant Production and Protection Series No. 30. FAO, Rome.
- [21] Daetwyler H.D, Bansal UK, Bariana, H.S.; Hayden, M.J.; Hayes, B.J. (2014) Genomic prediction for rust resistance in diverse wheat landraces. Theor. Appl. Genet., 2014, 127, 1795–1803
- [22] Da-Silva PR, Brammer SP, Guerra D. et al., 2012.Monosomic and molecular mapping of adult plant leaf rust resistance genes in the Brazilian wheat cultivar Toropi. Genet Mol Res. 11(3):2823–2834.
- [23] Deghais M, El-Faleh M, Gharbi MS. 1999. Acquis de la recherche en ame'lioration des ce're'ales en Tunisie. Ann INRAT 26:33–40
- [24] de Vallavieille-Pope C, Giosue S, Munk L, Newton AC, Niks RE, Østergård H, Pons-Kühnemann J, Rossi V, DeWolf, E. 2014. Management of small grain diseases. Kansas State University NCERA, 184.
- [25] Dyck PL, Kerber ER. 1985. Resistance of the Race-Specific Type. In The Cereal Rusts; Roelfs, A.P., Bushnell, W.R., Eds.; Academic Press: Orlando, FL, USA, 1985; Volume 2, pp. 469–500
- [26] Ellis, J.G., Lagudah, E.S., Spielmeyer, W. and Dodds, P.N. (2014) The past, present and future of breeding rust resistant wheat. Front. Plant Sci. 5, 641.
- [27] Espino HJ, Singh RP, German S, McCallum BD, Park Rf, WQ, ChenWQ, Bhardwaj SC and Goyeau H. 2011. Euphytica.179, 143–160.
- [28] Eversmeyer MG & Kramer CL. 1996.Modelling winter S. Afr. Tydskr. Plant Grond 2002, 19(2) and early spring survival of Pucciniarecondita in wheat nurseries during 1980 to 1993. Plant Dis. 80,490-493.
- [29] Eversmeyer MG& Kramer CL. 2000. Epidemiology of wheat leaf and stem rust in the central Great Plains of the USA. Annual Review of Phytopatholy, 38, 491-513.
- [30] Everts KL, Leath S, Finney PL. 2001.Impact of powdery mildew and leaf rust on milling and baking quality of soft red winter wheat. Plant Dis. 2001;85(4):423–9.
- [31] FAO. 2014. What is conservation Agriculture? FAO, Rome.

- [32] FAOSTAT. 2015. World Food Situation.
- [33] Fixen.1993.Crop responses to chloride fertilizers. p. 107-150. In D. L. Sparks (ed) Advances in Agronomy. Academic Press, Inc. San Diego, California, U.S.A. 1993.
- [34] Flor HH. 1956. The complementary genetic systems in wheat.
- [35] Gadisa Alemu.2019. Wheat Breeding for Disease Resistance: Review. J Microbiol Biotechnol, 4(2):12.
- [36] Germa'n S, Kohli M, Chaves M, Barcellos A et al., (2004). Breakdown of resistance of wheat cultivars and estimated losses caused by recent changes in the leaf rust population in South America. In: Proceedings of 11th international cereal rusts and powdery mildews conference abstract. John Innes Centre, Norwich, England, UK, pp A2.21.
- [37] Germán S, Barcellos A, Chaves M, Kohli M, Campos, P. and Viedma, L. (2007). The situation of common wheat rusts in the Southern Cone of America and perspectives for control. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research, vol. 58, no. 6, p. 620-630.
- [38] German S and Kolmer J. 1992. Effect of gene Lr34 in the enhancement of resistance to leaf rust of wheat. Theor. Appl. Genet. 84, 97–105
- [39] Gill BS, Sharma HC, Raupp WJ, et al. 1986. Resistance in Aegilops squarrosa to wheat leaf rust, wheat powdery mildew, greenbug, and Hessian fly. Plant Dis. 1986; 70:553–556.
- [40] Groth JV. 1984. Virulence frequency dynamics of cereal rust fungi. In: Bushnell WR and Roelfs AP The cereal rusts vol I. Academic Press Inc. Orlando p 231-252
- [41] Habtamu T, 2018. Characterization of Puccinia triticinaand evaluation of durum wheat (Triticum turgidumvar. durum)cultivars and land races against the pathogen in East Shewa Zone. MSc Thesis. Haramaya University, Ethiopia. 92.p
- [42] Habtamu T, Ayele B, and Mashilla D. 2020. Characterization of wheat leaf rust pathogen (Puccinia triticina) in some parts of Ethiopia and seedling evaluation of durum wheat (Triticum turgidum) cultivars to the pathogen. African Journal of Agricultural Research, Vol. 15(2),pp. 291-296.
- [43] Haggag MEA, Dyck PL. 1973. The inheritance of leaf rust resistance in 4 common wheat varieties possessing genes at or near the Lr3 locus. Can J Genet Cytol. 15:127–134.
- [44] Hawkesford M.J, Araus JL, Park R, Calderini D, Miralles D, Shen T, Zhang J, and Parry MA. 2013. Prospects of doubling global wheat yields. Food and Energy Security 2: 34-48.
- [45] Herrera-Foessel SA, Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, Salazar VC and Lagudah ES. 2011. First report of slow rusting gene Lr46 in durum wheat. In: Proc. Borlaug Global Rust Initiative Tech. St. Paul, MN, USA, 13-16 Jun. 2011. p. 191.
- [46] Herrera-Foessel SA, Huerta-Espino J, Calvo-Salazar V, Lan CX and Singh RP. 2014. Lr72 confers resistance to leaf rust in durum wheat cultivar Atil C2000. Plant Dis. 98:631-635.
- [47] Hodson D, Cressman K, Nazari K, Park R. and Yahyaoui, A. 2009. The global cereal rust monitoring system. In: BGRI Technical Workshop. (McIntosh, R., ed.), pp. 35–46. Obregon, Mexcio.
- [48] Hollaway G. and Brown J. 2005. Rusts of wheat. State of Victoria, Department of Primary Industries. Implications. Phytopathology 45:680-685. Indian Agriculture Research Institute, New Delhi, India
- [49] HoIIaway G. 2007. Wheat disease management in the Wimmera. Agriculture Notes. State of Victoria, Department of Primary Industries
- [50] Hollaway, G. 2014. Stem Rust of Wheat.
- [51] Hovmøller MS, Sørensen CK, Walter S, Justesen AF. 2011. Diversity of Puccinia striiformis on cereals and grasses. Ann Rev Phytopathol 49:197–217

- [52] Huerta-Espino J. 1992. Analysis of wheat leaf and stem rust virulence on a worldwide basis. PhD thesis, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN
- [53] Huerta-Espino J, Singh RP, Germán S, McCallum BD, Park RF, Chen WQ, Bhardwaj SC, Goyeau H. 2011. Global status of wheat leaf rust caused by Puccinia triticina. Euphytica. 2011;179(1):143–60.
- [54] HussainM, SF, Hassan and MAS.Kirmani. 1980. Virulence in Puccinia recondite Rob.ex. Desm.f. sp. tritici.pp.179-184.in Pakistan during 1978 and 1979. Proceeding 5th European and Mediterranean Cereal Rust Conf. Bari, Italy.
- [55] Hussein T, Bowden RL, Gill BS, et al. 1997. Chromosomal location of leaf rust resistance gene Lr43 from Aegilops tauschii in common wheat. Crop Sci. 37:1764–1766.
- [56] Hussein S, Spies JJ, Pretorius ZA, et al. 2005. Chromosome locations of leaf rust resistance genes in selected tetraploid wheat through substitution lines. Euphytica 141:209–216.
- [57] Keed BR, White NH. 1971. Quantitative effects of leaf and stem rust on yield and quality of wheat. Austr J ExpAgricAn Hus 11:550–555
- [58] Khan MH, Bukhari A, Dar ZA, et al. Status and strategies in breeding for rust resistance in wheat. Agric Sci. 2013; 4:292–301.
- [59] Kloppers FJ, Pretorius ZA. 1997. Effects of combinations amongst genes Lr13, Lr34 and Lr37 on components of resistance in wheat to leaf rust. Plant Pathol 46:737–750
- [60] Knott DR. 1988. Using polygenic resistance to breed for stem rust resistance in wheat.pp.39-47. In: Simmonds, N.W. and Rajaram, S. (Eds.), Breeding strategies for resistance to the rusts of wheat, CIMMYT, Mexico.
- [61] Knott D. 1989. The wheat rusts-breeding for resistance. Monograph on TheorAppl Genet 12. New York: Springer-Verlag
- [62] Knott D. 2008. The genomics of stem rust resistance in wheat. Plant Sciences Department, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatchewan, S7N 5A8, Canada.
- [63] Kolmer, J. 1996. Genetics of resistance to wheat leaf rust. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 34:435-455.
- [64] Kolmer JA and Ordoñez, M.E. 2007. Genetic differentiation of Puccinia triticina populations in Central Asia and the Caucasus. Phytopathology, 97, 1141–1149.
- [65] Kolmer J, JinY, and Long D. 2007. Wheat leaf and stem rust in the United States. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 58:631-638.17
- [66] Kolmer, JA. 2009a. Postulation of leaf rusts resistance genes in selected soft red winter wheat. Crop Sci. 43:1266-1276. https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2003.1266
- [67] Komler JA, X. Chen and Y. Jin. 2009b. Disease which challenges global wheat production –the wheat rusts. In: Carver BF (ed) wheat: Science and trade. Wiley Blackwell, IA, PP. 89-124.
- [68] Kolmer. 2013. Leaf rust of wheat: pathogen biology, variation and host resistance," Forests, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 70– 84.
- [69] Kolmer JA. 2015b. A QTL on chromosome 5BL in wheat enhances leaf rust resistance of Lr46. Mol. Breed. 35:1-8.
- [70] Kolmer JA, Bernardo A, Bai G, Hayden MJ and Chao S. 2018a. Adult plant leaf rust resistance derived from Toropi wheat is conditioned by Lr78c and three minor QTL. Phytopath 108, 246–253.
- [71] Kolmer JA, Su, Z, Bernado A, Bai G and Chao S. 2018c. Mapping and characterisation of new adult plant leaf rust resistance gene Lr77 derived from Santa Fe winter wheat. Theor. Appl. Genet. 131, 1553–1560

- [72] Krattinger SG, Lagudah ES, Spielmeyer W, Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, McFadden H, Bossolini, E, Selter, L, Keller B.2009. A putative ABC transporter confers durable resistance to multiple fungal pathogens in wheat. Science 2009, 323, 1360–1363.
- [73] Kumar J, Jayaprakasha SM, P. Maheshwari and R. Nisha. 2015. Indian Phytopathology.68 (2), 139-144.
- [74] Lagudah ES. 2010. Molecular genetics of race non-specific rust resistance in wheat. Borlaug Global Rust Initiative, Proceeding of Technical Workshop St. Petersburg, Russia, May 30-31, 2010. pp 183-196.
- [75] Line RF. 2002. Stripe rust of wheat and barley in North America: a retrospective historical review 1. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 40:75-118.
- [76] Lin F, Chen XM. 2009. Quantitative trait loci for race non-specific, high temperature adult-plant resistance to stripe rust in wheat cultivar express. Theor Appl Genet.
- [77] Li X, Yang X, Mo J & Guo, T. 2009. Estimation of soybean rust uredospore terminal velocity, dry deposition, and the wet deposition associated with rainfall. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 123, 377-386. 153
- [78] Loughman RK, Jayasena and J. Majewski. 2005. Yield loss and fungicide control of stem rust of wheat. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 56: 91-96.
- [79] Mago R, Spielmeyer W, Lawrence GJ, et al., 2002. Identification and mapping of molecular markers linked to rust resistance genes located on chromosome 1RS of rye using wheat-rye translocation lines. TAG Theor Appl Genet. 104(8):1317–1324.
- [80] Marasas CN, Smale M and Singh RP. 2004. The economic impact in developing countries of leaf rust resistance breeding in CIMMYT-related spring bread wheat. International Maize and Wheat Vol. 97, No. 3, 2007 351 Improvement Center, Mexico, D.F.
- [81] Mebrate SA Oerke EC, Dehne HW and Pillen K. 2008). Mapping of the leaf rust resistance gene Lr38 on wheat chromosome arm 6DL using SSR markers. Euphytica, vol. 162, no. 3, p. 457-466.
- [82] McCallum BD, Hiebert CW, Cloutier S, Bakkeren G, Rosa SB, Humphreys DG, Marias GF, McCartney CA, Panwar V, Rampitsch C, Saville, BJ and Wang X. 2016. A review of wheat leaf rust research and the development of resistant cultivars in Canada. Canada Journal Plant Pathology, 38:118.
- [83] McDonald BA. Linde C. 2002. The population genetics of plant pathogens and breeding strategies for durable resistance.
- [84] McIntosh RA, Wellings, CR and Park R.F. 1995. Wheat Rusts: An Atlas of Resistance Genes. CSIRO, East Melbourne, Victoria 3002, Australia.200 pp.
- [85] McIntosh RA, Yamazaki Y, Devos KM, et al. 2003.Catalogue of gene symbols for wheat. In: Pogna NE Romano M Pogna A Galterio G, editors. Proceedings of the 10th International Wheat Genetics Symposium; Sep 1-6. Italy.
- [86] McIntosh RA, Yamazaki Y, Devos KM, Dubcovsky J, Rogers J. and Appels R. 2007. Catalogue of gene symbols for wheat. 2007 Supplement. KOMUGI Integrated Wheat Science Database
- [87] McIntosh RA, Dubcovsky J, Rogers WJ, Morris C, Xia XC. 2017. Catalogue of gene symbols for wheat.
- [88] Murray and Brennan.2009. Estimating disease losses to the Australian wheat industry," Australasian Plant Pathology, vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 558–570.
- [89] Nagarajan S & Singh D. (1990). Long-distance dispersion of rust pathogens. Annual Review of Phytopatholy, 28, 139-153.
- [90] Neumann S Paveley ND Beed FD. and Sylvester-Bradley R. 2004. Nitrogen per unit leaf area affects the upper asymptote of Puccinia striiformis f. sp tritici epidemics in winter wheat. Plant Pathol. 53: 725-32.
- [91] Neu C, Stein N, Keller B. 2002. Genetic mapping of the Lr20- Pm1 resistance locus reveals suppressed recombination on chromosome arm 7AL in hexaploid wheat. Genome. 45(4):737–744.

- [92] Nelson JC, Singh RP, Autrique JE, et al. mapping genes conferring and suppressing leaf rust resistance in wheat. Crop Sci. 1997; 37:1928–1935.
- [93] Newton AC, Fitt BDL, Atkins SD, Walters DR, Daniell TJ. 2010. Pathogenesis, parasitism and mutualism in the trophic space of microbe-plant interactions. Trends Microbiol 18: 365–373.
- [94] Oelke LM and Kolmer JA. 2004. Characterization of leaf rust resistance in hard red spring wheat cultivars. Plant Disease, vol. 88, no. 10, p. 1127-1133.
- [95] Olesen JE, Jorgensen N, Petersen J & Mortensen, JV. 2003a. Effects of rate and timing of nitrogen fertilizer on disease control by fungicides in winter wheat.. Grain yield and foliar disease control', Journal of Agricultural Science vol. 140, pp. 1–13.
- [96] Panstruga R. 2003. Establishing compatibility between plants and obligate biotrophic pathogens. Curr.Opin. Plant Biol. 6, 320–326.
- [97] Pardey PG, Beddow JM, Kriticos DJ, Hurley TM, Park RF, Duveiller E., et al., 2013.Right-sizing stem-rust research. Science 340, 147–148
- [98] Park RF, Burdon JJ, Jahoor A (1999) Evidence for somatic hybridization in nature in Pucciniarecondita f. sp. tritici, the leaf rust pathogen of wheat.Mycol Res 103:715-723
- [99] Pretorius Z., Rijkenberg F., and Wilcoxson R. (1987). Occurrence and pathogenicity of Pucciniarecondita f. sp. tritici on wheat in South Africa from 1983 through 1985.Plant Disease. 71:1133-1137.
- [100] Priyamvada MS, Saharan, Tiwari R. 2011. Durable resistance in wheat. International Journal of Genetics and Molecular Biology 3(8): 108-114.
- [101] Priestley RH and RA Bayles. 1988. The contribution and value of resistant cultivars to disease control in cereals. Pp. 53-65. In B.C. Clifford and E. Lester (eds.), Control ofPlant Diseases: Costs and Benefits. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications.
- [102] Rees RG, Platz GJ (1975) Control of wheat leaf rust with 4-nbutyl-1, 2, 4-triazole.Austr J ExpAgricAnimHusb 15: 276–280
- [103] Reif JC, Zhang P, Dreisigacker S, Warburton ML, Van Ginkel M, et al., 2005. Wheat genetic diversity trends during domestication and breeding. Theor Appl Genet 110: 859–864. PMID: 15690175 25.
- [104] Roelfs AP. 1985a. Epidemiology in North America. In A.P. Roelfs and W.R. Bushnell. (eds.) The Cereal Rusts Vol. II; Diseases, Distribution, Epidemiology and Control. Academic Press, Orlando: pp. 403-434.
- [105] Roelfs AP. 1984. Wheat stem rust control (Cultural method). In: W.R. Bushnell and Roelfs (eds)."The Cereal rusts". Academic press, INC (London). LTD.P 45.
- [106] RoelfsAP. 1989. Epidemiology of the cereal rusts in North America. Can. J. Plant Pathol. 11:86-90.
- [107] Roelfs PA. Singh R and Saari, E. 1992. Rust diseases of wheat: Concepts and methods of disease management. CIMMYT, D.F., Mexico.
- [108] Sallam ME, El-Orabey WM and Omara RI. 2016. Seedling and adult plant resistance to leaf rust in some Egyptian wheat genotypes. African J. of Agric. Res., 11 (4): 247-258.
- [109] Samborski D. 1985. Wheat leaf rust.In: RoelfsAP, Bushnell WR, editors. The cereal rusts-II. 1985. p. 58-76.
- [110] Samsapour D, Maleki Zanjani B, Pallavi JK, et al.2010. Identification of molecular markers linked to adult plant leaf rust resistance gene Lr48 in wheat and detection of Lr48 in the Thatcher near-isogenic line with gene Lr25. Euphytica. 174(3):337–342
- [111] Sayre K, Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J. et al., 1998.Genetic progress in reducing losses to leaf rust in CIMMYTderived Mexican spring-wheat cultivars. Crop Sci. 38: 654659.

- [112] Schnurbusch T, Paillard S, Schori A, et al., 2004. Dissection of quantitative and durable leaf rust resistance in Swiss winter wheat reveals a major resistance QTL in the Lr34 chromosomal region. Theor Appl Genet. 2004; 108:477-484
- [113] Schultz TR & Line RE. 1992. High-temperature, adult-plant resistance to wheat stripe rust and effects on yield components.Agron. J. 84, 170-175.
- [114] Shahin SI, El-Orabey WM. 2015. Relationship between partial resistance and inheritance of adult plant resistance gene Lr46 of leaf rust in six bread wheat varieties. Adv Crop Sci Technol. 2015; 3(1):1–6.
- [115] Sharma AK, Singh DP, Saharan MS and Selvakumar, R. 2011. Pathology. In: 100 Years of Wheat Research in India – A Saga of Distinguished Achievements. Directorate of Wheat Research, Karnal, Haryana, India, pp. 141-180.
- [116] Shimelis HA, Pretorius ZA. 2005. Leaf and stripe rust resistance among Ethiopian grown wheat lines. Ethiopian Journal of Sciences 28:23-32.
- [117] Silva P, Calvo-Salazar V, Condón F, Quincke M, Pritsch, C, Gutiérrez L., et al., 2015. Effects and interactions of genes Lr34, Lr68 and Sr2 on wheat leaf rust adult plant resistance in Uruguay. Euphytica 204, 599–608.
- [118] Singh R. 1992. Genetic association of leaf rust resistance gene Lr34 with adult plant resistance to stripe rust in bread wheat. Phytopathology 82, 835–838.
- [119] Singh RP. 1992. Association between Gene Lr34 for leaf rust resistance and leaf tip necrosis in wheat. Crop Sci. 32(4): 874–878.
- [120] Singh RP, Rajaram S. 1992. Genetics of adult-plant resistance to leaf rust in 'Frontana' and three CIMMYT wheats. Genome 35:24–31
- [121] Singh, D.P., 1999. Yield losses due to brown rust in two popular cultivars of wheat. Plant Dis. Res. 14,60-62.
- [122] Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, Rajaram S. 2000. Achieving near immunity to leaf and stripe rusts in wheat by combining slow rusting resistance genes. Acta Phytopathol Hung 35:133–139
- [123] Singh RP, Huerta-Espino, J, Roelfs AP. 2002. The Wheat Rusts. In: B.C. Curtis, S. Rajaram, H. Gomez Macpherson (eds.). Bread Wheat Improvement and Production. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations. Rome, Italy.
- [124] Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, Pfeiffer W, Figueroa-Lopez P. 2004a. Occurrence and impact of a new leaf rust race on durum wheat in northwestern Mexico from 2001 to 2003. Plant Dis 88:703–708
- [125] Singh RP, William HM, Huerta-Espino J, Rosewarne G. 2004b. Wheat rust in Asia: meeting the challenges with old and new technologies. In: New directions for a diverse planet: Proceedings of 4th international crop science congress
- [126] Singh RP, Huerta-Espino, J., and William HM. (2005). Genetics and breeding for durable resistance to leaf and stripe rusts in wheat. Turk J. Agric. Forest 29, 121–127.
- [127] Singh R. 2008. Durable resistance to rust diseases of wheat: from theory to breeding application. In: Cereal Path systems (Graham Jellis and Clive Edwards eds).
- [128] Singh RP, Singh PK, Rutkoski J, Hodson, D, He X, Jørgensen LN et al., 2016. Disease impact on wheat yield potential and prospects of genetic control. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 54, 303–322.
- [129] Stubbs RW, Prescott JM, Saari EE and Dubin, HJ. 1986. Cereal Disease Methodology Manual. (CIMMYT), Mexicco.pp. 46.
- [130] Szabo LS and Bushnell WR. 2001. Hidden robbers: the role of fungal haustoria in parasitism of plants. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 98, 7654–7655.

- [131] Teklay Abebe, Getaneh Woldeab, Dawit W, Mesele, A. and Nega, Y. 2015. Distribution and seasonal variation in occurrence of wheat stripe rust in Tigray, Ethiopia. Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences, 1: 11 -17.
- [132] Tomerlin J, Eversmeyer M, Browder L and Kramer C. 1983. Temperature and host effects on latent and infectious periods and on urediniospore production of Pucciniarecondita f. sp. tritici. Phytopathology 73:414-419.
- [133] Volkova GV, Alekseeva TP, Anpilogova LK, Dobryanskaya MV, Vaganova OF, Kol'bin DA. 2009. Phytopathological characteristics of leaf rust resistance of new winter wheat varieties. Russian AgricSci 35:168– 171
- [134] Wan AM, Chen XM and He ZH. 2007. Wheat stripe rust in China. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 58: 605-19.
- [135] Wang, A.M., X.M. Chen and Z.H. He. 2007. Wheat stripe rust in China. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 58: 605-619.
- [136] Wang H, Qin F, Ruan L, Wang R, Liu Q, Ma Z, et al., 2016. Identification and Severity Determination of Wheat Stripe Rust and Wheat Leaf Rust Based on Hyperspectral Data Acquired Using a Black-Paper-Based Measuring Method. PLoS ONE 11(4)
- [137] Winzeler M, Mesterhazy A, Park RF, et al., 2000. Resistance of European winter wheat germplasm to leaf rust. Agronomie. 20:783–792.
- [138] Wisniewska H, St^epien L, Kowalczyk K. 2003. Resistance of spring wheat cultivars and lines to leaf rust. J Appl Genet. 2003; 44(3):361–368.
- [139] Wellings CR. 2011. Global status of stripe rust: a review of historical and current threats. Euphytica, 179: 129-141.
- [140] William M, Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, Ortiz-Islas S, Hoisington D. 2003. Molecular marker mapping of leaf rust resistance gene Lr46 and its association with stripe rust resistance gene Yr29 in wheat. Phytopathology 93, 153-159.
- [141] Zhang L, Meakin H and Dickinson M. 2003. Isolation of genes expressed during compatible interactions between leaf rust (Pucciniatriticina) and wheat using cDNA-AFLP. Mol. PlantPathol. 4, 469–477.
- [142] Zhao J, Wang M, Chen X, Kang Z. 2016. Role of alternate hosts in epidemiology and pathogen variation of cereal rusts. Ann Rev Phytopathol 54:207-228